## Case 5:11-mj-70927-MRGD Document 4 Filed 09/15/11 Page 1 of 1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

SAN JOSE DIVISION	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>11mj70927PSG</u>
V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
HERMINIO SANTIAGO-GARICA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION FENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(2) Defendant was present, represented by his attorney <u>Lara Vinnard</u> , All Attorney <u>Suzanne DeBerry</u> .	f), a detention hearing was held on September 15, 2011. FPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on relea	use pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
whichever is later.	•
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
	he facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	3.1. 01 H.O. C. C.
A for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §	
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	., OR
B under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm.  This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the commu	SEP 1 5 2011
No presumption applies.  PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE  / / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient ev	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ridence to rebut the carping beares mortion (Ed Rand he
therefore will be ordered detained.	SAN JOSE
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebu	at the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART III PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL	ICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND	D/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community	·
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REA	SONS FOR DETENTION
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	witten findings
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	Titten midnigs.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION  The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ge	neral or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awar	iting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
corrections facility separate to the extent placticable from persons a war	onsultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
inclination to the outer succession and the fact of th	/\ (
	III Anna

HOWARD R. LLOYD

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_

Dated: